UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/544,248	06/14/2006	Christopher John Montague Meade	1/1459 PCT	2638
<sup>28515</sup> MICHAEL P. N	7590 05/14/200 <b>MORRIS</b>	EXAMINER		
BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM USA CORPORATION			RAMACHANDRAN, UMAMAHESWARI	
900 RIDGEBURY RD P. O. BOX 3686		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RIDGEFIELD, CT 06877-0368			1617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/14/2009	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/544,248	MEADE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	UMAMAHESWARI RAMACHANDRAN	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	EDATE OF THIS COMMUNION (1.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AE	CATION.  reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02</u>	2 August 2005.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with the state of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> are subject to restriction and/or	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documed 2. Certified copies of the priority documed 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burnet * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the section for a line of the pappli	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Gummary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application 				

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-28 are pending.

## Lack of Unity

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claims 1-20, 24-28 are drawn to a pharmaceutical formulation comprising a TNF alpha synthesis inhibitor and at least one anticholinergic.

Group II, claims 21, 22 are drawn to a method of providing a dosage of an inhalable powder comprising a pharmaceutical composition comprising a TNF alpha synthesis inhibitor and at least one anticholinergic.

Group III, claim 23 is drawn to a method of preparing a medicament for treating inflammatory or obstructive disease, said medicament comprising a TNF alpha synthesis inhibitor and at least one anticholinergic.

The inventions listed as Groups I – III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding technical features.

An international application should relate to only one invention or, if there is more than one invention, the inclusion of those inventions in one international application is only permitted if all inventions are so linked as to form a single general inventive

Art Unit: 1617

concept (PCT Rule 13.1). With respect to a group of inventions claimed in an international application, unity of invention exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features.

The expression "special technical features" is defined in PCT Rule 13.2 as meaning those technical features that define a contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. The determination is made on the contents of the claims as interpreted in light of the description and drawings (if any). Whether or not any particular technical feature makes a "contribution" over the prior art, and therefore constitutes a "special technical feature", should be considered with respect to novelty and inventive step.

The common technical feature in groups I -III is a composition comprising a TNF alpha synthesis inhibitor and at least one anticholinergic. Such composition is taught by WO 02/00679 (Cuenoud et al.). The reference teaches compounds of TNF-alpha synthesis inhibitors. The reference teaches that the compounds are useful as cotherapeutic agents for use in conjunction with other drug substances for treatment of airways diseases, particularly bronchodilatory or anti-inflammatory drug substances. The reference in page 11, para 2, teaches that TNF-alpha synthesis inhibitor compounds may be mixed with the other drug in a fixed pharmaceutical composition or it may be administered separately, before, simultaneously with or after the other drug. Such other drugs include anticholinergic or antimuscarinic agents, in particular ipratropium bromide, oxitropium bromide and tiotropium bromide.

Page 4

As a result, no special technical features exist among the different groups because the inventions in Groups I-III fail to make a contribution over the prior art with respect to novelty or inventive step. In conclusion, there is lack of unity of inventions, and therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

The application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. If applicant elects a group from Groups I-III, applicant is further required to elect single disclosed species of an anticholinergic compound (e.g. tiotropium) and a single disclosed species of a TNF-alpha synthesis inhibiting compound (e.g. RDP-58). If Applicant elects Group I, Applicant is further required to elect a species of the excipient, (e.g. lactose), a species of propellant gas (e.g. methane).

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.1.43).

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Because the restriction/election requirement is complex, a telephone call to applicant's agent to request an oral election was not made. See MPEP § 812.01.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Umamaheswari Ramachandran whose telephone number is 571-272-9926. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/544,248 Page 6

Art Unit: 1617

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/SREENI PADMANABHAN/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1617